Review: Biomarkers and Role in the Prediction and Detection of Type 2 Diabetes and Its Complications

Ikpotokin Samuel Osahon

Abstract

Biomarkers are Novel and Revered Tools and Technologies (NORETs) which have helped previous studies anticipate diverse disease conditions and complications as well as provide similarly close prognosis for them. These NORETs in rare but common studies regarding Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) has helped health care professionals and scientists identify health-worthy indications relating to T2D complications and potential risk factors. Biomarkers in its own way debating in the diagnosis and prognosis of T2D has provided health care professionals with the basic kits of understanding how these diseases manifest from seemingly mild problems which we often times ignore to complicated problems, also indicating possible causes. In this study, the pathophysiology of T2D was subtly exhausted pointing the focus towards the rapidly modifying metabolic manifestations of T2D as well as its risk complications. This study thus reveals the on-going advancement of biomarkers in fully understanding, identifying, diagnosing and treating T2D.
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Introduction

Diabetes in both developed and developing countries of the world has resulted in severe complications like high blood pressure, cardiovascular complications, renal dysfunction, visual malfunctioning, and even blindness. The prevalence of this disease in question has resulted in excessive spending of finances and resources for nearly adequate solutions.

It is common news worldwide that diabetes had expansively affected at least 380-400 million people in the previous years if not more, and has also resulted to about 4-5 million mortality in the year 2014 only. The persistent occurrence of diabetes in its raw form has been predicted to rise rapidly by 2035 [1].

Type 2 Diabetes, (T2D), a type of diabetes, on the other hand, is as a result of insulin resistance (IR) as well as rapid malfunctioning of the pancreatic β-cell. The continuous and relentless persistence of T2D have multiplied its spread and effects over time now and this is relatively significant to sedentary lifestyle and unhealthy dieting [2]. In order to determine the root causes of this reeling disease, we must closely examine the genetic and environmental factors. Although some relevant genetic studies have subtly identified some factors relating to the high risks for T2D, they haven’t yet provided in details a clear understanding of its biological functions. However, the early identification of this disease is paramount in avoiding other risk factors or complications indicating T2D. So, it is importantly relevant that specific biomarkers be identified for the quick and safe analysis and prediction of this prevalent disease, T2D.

When IR decreases rapidly, there is a reciprocal increase in the production of insulin as well as its continuous presence in the body without been duly utilised. This is usually termed hyperinsulinemia and it un-relentlessly leads to the proliferation of T2D. This will surely occur when the pancreatic β-cell had suddenly become incompetent in producing the critical quantity of insulin as well as its regulation and environmental factors. Several studies indicated that IR formed the precursor and potential risk for T2D, they haven’t yet provided in details a clear understanding of its biological functions. However, the early identification of this disease is paramount in avoiding other risk factors or complications indicating T2D. So, it is importantly relevant that specific biomarkers be identified for the quick and safe analysis and prediction of this prevalent disease, T2D.

Three studies indicated that IR formed the precursor and therapeutic framework or basis in the disease condition of increased glucose concentration and similarly potentiated risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and vascular disposition for T2D occurrences [4-6]. Several biomarkers like the branched-chain amino acid and micro Ribonucleic acids (mRNAs) have been exclusively identified in recent studies into-omics, for T2D [7,8]. More so, studies like those of Yang et al. [9] and Barazzoni et al. [10] have provided researchers with potential biomarkers (ghrelin and retinol-binding protein) to work with, yet, Echouffo-Tcheugui et al. [11] could rarely see through the loop into T2D risk complications. It would be an outstanding relief when substantial biological tools in the prediction of T2D and risk complications as well as its relatives are identified. This would in no doubt ease better analysis for the effective prediction of T2D as well as in the administration of relevant diagnosis and prognosis and this was probably in Assarsson’s et al. collaborative thinking in providing the Proximity...
Extension Assay (PEA). This positive thinking has played a role in improving the comparative studies of protein in their cumbersome nature within very small samples [12].

Delving into the concept of biomarkers, we find that biomarkers have long painted the walls of qualitative and quantitative research over the years now. Several countries have participated in the biomarker revolution in expressly every biological assay. We discovered from these sole benefactors of the advances of biomarkers in the early ages of research development had noted that the sweet taste, which was actually raw and concentrated indicated the presence of excessive sugar which in turn contributed to the basis for diabetes test using urine. HbA1c, a glycohemoglobin value culminated an important criterion for the distinct regulation of blood sugar levels in both type diabetes (T1D and T2D). This HbA1c value spontaneously retains the sugar data of the patient in question and processes the acquired data based on the concentration levels for some time, even lasting weeks, but when the blood sugar eventually eases down or better yet improved upon, the elevated values comes falling down.

Ron Zimmer [13], described biomarker as proteins, cell, molecules, genes even enzymes or hormones which could be measured in their own capacity, religiously assessed as a primary indicator for the homeostatic processes and responses aimed at delivering means to solving physiologic complications. Houkla [14] had noted that biomarkers though expressly vague have been a major experimental tool by researchers and scientists to analytically study human diseases. Desai [15] expressly reported that ‘blood pressure’ though a state, served as a surrogate endpoint biomarker in correlating the adverse effects of elevated/increase blood pressures on cardiovascular output. We definitely can’t place all biomarkers as a surrogate end-point identifier, thus making their identification a ‘Novel and Revered Tool and Technology (NORET)’ of researches into the lavish use of biomarkers in research as well as other analytical studies. In terms of professionalism, biomarker seems to be growing at a slower rate though it had been expressly useful in pre-clinical and clinical studies for a considerable amount of time, and has been a bed for which quality research though adamant, had spread their heart-ached analysis of simpler and complex physiological problem alike.

Body temperature is a comparative and common biomarker for fever. Blood pressure is another comparative and first sought after biomarkers for stroke indications and complications. Cholesterol values are NORETs indicating coronary and vascular diseases, and C-reactive protein (CRP) is another thought of NORET for inflammation.

Resoundingly, biomarkers may include NORETs that can assess the necessary and vital information concerning a particular problem or complication. Digressing a little, we find that in Perera and Weinstein’s and Naylor’s studies that as complex and complicated the nervous system is and has been in recent times, the wider and more advanced the NORETs utilized in assessing the information about the Nervous box-the brain and related disease complications [16,17]. Among such NORETs are assessing the blood or Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF). These may involve measurements directly on biological media (e.g., blood or cerebrospinal fluid). The biomarker in its tailored parametric (chemical, physical and biological) capacity can independently assess the growth and development of the disease, likewise the receptive effects of the administered management.

With these whelming explanations made easy, biomarkers are simply any kind of indicator that gives an accurate or near accurate diagnosis of certain physiologic problems and in this study, T2D.

Characteristics of Biomarkers

Among the labelled characteristics of an ideal biomarker are:

• Safety and easy to measure (Quality over Quantity-Qua/Quo).
• Affordability.
• Proven treatment to modify any given set of biomarker(s).
• Consistency irrespective of personality.
• Sensitivity and specificity.

Exceptional predictive value(s)

Describing briefly the features above, Pharma Matters White Paper, in a comprehensive study noted that in all patients with a disease condition, a positive result can be determined with the specificity and sensitivity of the test [18].

Newman and Unger’s criteria for biochemical/molecular biomarkers include:

• Biomarkers must be measurable at all times [19].
• Biomarkers must have rapid response, inexpensive and easy to use.
• Biomarkers must proffer Qua/Quo.
• Biomarkers should be specific (which in most cases are unlikely impractical).
• Biomarkers should possess a linear concentration-effect relationship.
• Biomarkers should be applicable to similar species.

Biomarkers Requisite

In determining the biomarker requisite for T2D, I quickly hover around the prevalence of other disease conditions and their identifiable biomarkers for easy navigation through this study. We seldom experience people or individuals with long term illness requiring long term medications with less accurate diagnosis of the persistent conditions especially in African countries and other parts of the world. With the favourable hand of biomarkers and NORETs, diseases can be effectively diagnosed and proffered suitable treatments [20]. Diseases like Alzemer and rheumatoid arthritis which often times starts off with an undetectable symptom stage until it becomes seriously hurting, in these instances, biomarkers have helped to quickly identify them as well as individuals susceptible to their complications.

This article is available from: http://biomarkers.imedpub.com/archive.php
For a biomarker to be successfully utilized, the presented sample material(s) which could be blood sample, urine or even saliva must be fast and like oil drop on a white cloth for easy examination and commencement of treatment. Hence proper consultation process can immediately ensue for patients already diagnosed. A rapid test, which delivers a result after only a few minutes, is optimal. This makes it possible for the physician to discuss with the patient how to proceed and if necessary to start treatment immediately after the test.

**Pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes**

Already possessing a glimpse or two about the metabolomics for biomarkers especially for the T2D, I subtly digress into the pathophysiology of this study disease. As important as it is hitting the nail right on point, it is essential I reel in the intrigue behind T2D. We definitely realize that the prevalence of T2D is as a result of progressive depletion in glucose tolerance until it finally results in diabetes. What we both should also remember is that T2D is and can be detected on the basis of sporadically increased HbA1c level, leading to IR and pancreatic β-cell malfunctioning.

Expressly evaluating older studies, I had realized the basic conspiracy in the pathophysiologic defects in patients with T2D; Impairment, Deterioration, Genetic Re-wiring and Environmental familiarities.

A long time ago, noted that sporadically increased levels of saturated fatty acids (FAs) could damage and continuous the steady secretion of insulin leading to a significantly low glucose tolerance [21]. Supporting Swinburn et al. and Lillioja et al. [22], noted in another comprehensive study, that damaged and continuous secretion of insulin examines T2D; we fill in the blank spaces and proffer effective measures against such defects. In the 70’s had noted then that certain impairment in the secretion of insulin are as a result of reduced/deteriorating sensitivity of the glucose (G) receptors responsible for conveying the glucose signal to trigger insulin release [23]. Genetic and environmental factors are the main determinants of insulin secretion. Pimenta et al. [24] confidently noted that the genetic and environmental factors determine the secretion of insulin.

The issues surrounding biomarkers in health and diseases, have expressly increased, yet biomarkers in field applications had face a resilient wall trying to first combat and settle the dispute between statistically effective studies carefully moderating clinical problems and complications [25,26].

**Biomarkers for T2d prediction**

Generally, predicting diabetes has been of concern to many researchers and scientists all over the world. However there has been some new interventions regarding blood samples as biomarkers becoming even more and more complex by the day, but researchers an scientists need slated laws which points the scientists towards clinically analysing diabetes. In this paper, I delve into some standard areas that had suffered little or no feathered heart concentration as far as T2D and its health complications are concerned. However these areas should not be given a one glance consideration but a nicely dished salad attention. They are as follows:

- First and foremost, we label the severity of T2D to better provide the necessary dispensation for patients/individuals at death's door (stroke/heart attack) by desperately assessing CVD complications, which on its own must be as refined as liquid gold. On the contrary, diagnosis of diabetes which is defined based on a blood sampling analysis for the various complications of diabetes can always be repeated as long as the individual still portrays some persistently increase risk complications. Prediction of T2D in these contrasting situations becomes unfailingly less important or critical.

- In a study, extensively noted and reported that at receiver operating characteristics (ROC) of about 0.80 are possible indicators for diabetes at simplified risk score such as Body mass index (BMI), age, family historie of diabetes, even age [27]. Noting this, health care professionals can be steered thus to naturally selecting suitable candidates for blood sampling tests for T2D. Still on this note, Wannamethee et al. [28] noted that simple risk scores for diabetes anywhere around the world can be easily determined, and once taken up; the exclamation in the Einstein’s light bulb is to determine what the cut-points are for proceeding to a blood test.

- In a 2011 study, Wannamethee et al. [29], also noted that HbA1c in close combination with other simple parameters under the risk score ROC would better increase and enhance future prediction of diabetes as well as T2D. However, individuals with reduced HbA1c values should be considered at potential risk of future diabetes as well as T2D, and quickly proffered a composite and conducive lifestyle intervention [2].

- Finally, there is the need to statistically and significantly relate cardiovascular diseases and diabetes risk screening because nowadays, both go hand in hand building bridges (more or less a catch 22 situation). Risk screening and complications of diabetes has been a family chat for health care professionals over every centre table discussion, but rarely has these resolutions by the years been implemented given its ball and chain complexity and the necessity for continuous tests. Where there’s a seed, there’s hope, and the initiation of HbA1c as a NORET for the diagnosis and prognosis of diabetes has paved way to further growing this seed of faith to advancing diabetes analysis and checkmaring risk complications and identifications. HbA1c testing does not require fasting or denial of food, thus providing room for constant and steady 24/7 screening for diabetes. Afterall, Di Angelantonio [8] had noted that non-fasting lipid reports were presented as would fasting lipid reports for CVD risk prevention. Interestingly, Griffin et al. [12] noted in their study that an earlier screening of diabetes would lesson CVD risk complications and increase the chances of applying better improved lifestyle practices. This suggestion is speculative, but, clearly, picking up diabetes sooner after HbA1c crosses the diagnostic threshold could have multiple advantages for patients.
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A snail and shell relationship exists between the available diabetes treatment, HbA1c value indicators, ranging lipid levels, correlating cardiac outcomes, and likely survival. This symbiotic relationship surpasses the available ideas and ideals, placing a limiter to comprehending their interactions and possible workings [30,31].

Scientific assumptions regarding the reliability of biomarker reports on a peculiar disease are sometimes regarded as a half arms’ worth. Khaw et al. [32] had assumed in a comprehensive study using the HbA1c value, that serious regulation of blood glucose would in its own way reduce the risk complications of diabetes as well as T2D complications, as documented by the U.K Prospective Diabetes Study [33]. Due to the swell rates of CVD in patients with T2D, both background and underground studies have tried to correlate the effects of sufficient glycemic control over CVD risks and complications but to no avail [34-36].

Conclusion

Closely observing the tethering nature of biomarkers and their susceptible efficiency, there is still a lot of work to be accomplished in the field of biomarkers as well as its diagnostic use in the prognosis of diabetes mellitus as well as its risk complications. This study as well as other related studies had shown some of the clinical correlation of biomarkers in the possible identification of T2D. In this study also, we had diversified our minds to the various complications of T2D without highlighting the relative effects in women population. This study shows how possible it is to quickly and safely acquire relevant data on the variant functioning of individual metabolites that rustles glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity. Here we realize that the relationship between increased glucose concentration and T2D were seriously at loggerheads. The biological features of these related variants have been like searching for a tiny pin in hay stack-challenging. In conclusion, I have identified some NORET biomarkers (e.g. the sweet taste of urine, the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) of about 0.80 and HbA1c) that have been used in previous and continuous studies for assessing the risks and development of diabetes, T2D as well as their complications since these NORETs have in times past and continuously help predict the onset of T2D and consequently diagnosis and fast-racing prognosis before the coming rains.
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